



Fecal continence following complex anorectal trauma in children

Katie W. Russell^{*}, Elizabeth S. Soukup, Ryan R. Metzger, Sarah Zobell, Eric R. Scaife, Douglas C. Barnhart, Michael D. Rollins

Division of Pediatric Surgery, Primary Children's Medical Center, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 October 2013

Accepted 16 October 2013

Key words:

Rectal injury

Anal injury

Anorectal injury

Pediatric trauma

Penetrating trauma

Colostomy

Impalement

ABSTRACT

Background: Complex injuries involving the anus and rectum are uncommon in children. We sought to examine long-term fecal continence following repair of these injuries.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective review using our trauma registry from 2003 to 2012 of children with traumatic injuries to the anus or rectum at a level I pediatric trauma center. Patients with an injury requiring surgical repair that involved the anal sphincters and/or rectum were selected for a detailed review.

Results: Twenty-one patients (21/13,149 activations, 0.2%) who had an injury to the anus ($n = 9$), rectum ($n = 8$), or destructive injury to both the anus and rectum ($n = 4$) were identified. Eleven (52%) patients were male, and the median age at time of injury was 9 (range 1–14) years. Penetrating trauma accounted for 48% of injuries. Three (14%) patients had accompanying injury to the urinary tract, and 6 (60%) females had vaginal injuries. All patients with an injury involving the rectum and destructive anal injuries were managed with fecal diversion. No patient with an isolated anal injury underwent fecal diversion. Four (19%) patients developed wound infections. The majority (90%) of patients were continent at last follow-up. One patient who sustained a gunshot injury to the pelvis with sacral nerve involvement is incontinent, but remains artificially clean on an intense bowel management program with enemas, and one patient with a destructive crush injury still has a colostomy.

Conclusions: With anatomic reconstruction of the anal sphincter mechanism, most patients with traumatic anorectal injuries will experience long-term fecal continence. Follow-up is needed as occasionally these patients, specifically those with nerve or crush injury, may require a formal bowel management program.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Injuries involving the anus and rectum in children are rare and are often associated with injuries to the bony pelvis and genitourinary system. Limited data exist regarding the long-term fecal continence following management of these injuries. Much of the literature to date relates to selective fecal diversion and immediate postoperative complications. We reviewed our experience specifically examining long-term fecal continence.

1. Methods

After obtaining approval from the institutional review board, we conducted a retrospective review of all children with injury to the anus or rectum treated at our level 1 pediatric trauma center from January 2003 through December 2012. Patients were identified using our trauma registry and the electronic charts were reviewed to select only patients who required operative repair of the injury. Injuries to the anus not involving the anal sphincters were excluded. Patient information including demographics, mechanism of injury, associated injuries, Injury Severity Score (ISS), diagnostic studies, surgical treatment, postoperative complications and long-term

bowel function were collected from inpatient records and outpatient follow-up charts.

2. Results

The prevalence of anorectal injury at our center during the 10-year period was 0.2% (21/13,149). The median age at the time of injury of was 9 years (range 1–14 years), and 11 patients (52%) were male. Nine (43%) patients had an injury involving the anus, 8 (38%) had rectal injuries and 4 (19%) had destructive injuries to both the anus and rectum (Table 1). Ten (83%) patients with a rectal injury had an extraperitoneal component and only two (17%) were isolated intraperitoneal injuries. Forty-eight percent of the injuries were the result of penetrating mechanisms. The blunt mechanisms were separated into blunt trauma (33%) and straddle injury (19%). The straddle injuries all had sphincter involvement by blunt force but were isolated and thus considered separately from high-force blunt mechanisms (motor vehicle crash, pedestrian rollover, all-terrain vehicle crash) that resulted in more destructive anorectal injuries and accompanying injury to other organ systems. The median ISS was 13 (range 1–42), and was generally higher in patients who had blunt trauma (median 26), in contrast to those with straddle injuries (median 3) and penetrating trauma (median 13). Common associated

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 801 662 2950; fax: +1 801 662 2980.

E-mail address: Katie.Russell@hsc.utah.edu (K.W. Russell).

Table 1

Summary of patient characteristics, management and outcomes.

Injury location	ISS median (range)	Mechanisms	Penetrating	Associated injuries	Fecal diversion	Fecal continence
Anus (<i>n</i> = 9)	4 (1–34)	Straddle (<i>n</i> = 4) AVP (<i>n</i> = 2) Rope burn (<i>n</i> = 1) Impalement (<i>n</i> = 1) Sexual abuse (<i>n</i> = 1)	22%	Vagina (<i>n</i> = 4) Pelvis fx (<i>n</i> = 2) Tibia fx (<i>n</i> = 1) Pulmonary contusion (<i>n</i> = 1)	None	100%
Rectum (<i>n</i> = 8) Intraperitoneal (<i>n</i> = 2) Extraperitoneal (<i>n</i> = 5) intra/extra (<i>n</i> = 1)	15 (4–42)	Impalement (<i>n</i> = 3) MVC (<i>n</i> = 2) Sexual abuse (<i>n</i> = 1) ATV crash (<i>n</i> = 1) Stab wound (<i>n</i> = 1)	63%	Bladder (<i>n</i> = 3) Vagina (<i>n</i> = 2) Pelvis fx (<i>n</i> = 2) Leg laceration (<i>n</i> = 1) Small Bowel (<i>n</i> = 1) Pulmonary contusion (<i>n</i> = 1)	All	100%
Anus + rectum (<i>n</i> = 4) Intraperitoneal (<i>n</i> = 0) Extraperitoneal (<i>n</i> = 2) Intra/extra (<i>n</i> = 2)	15 (9–22)	Gunshot (<i>n</i> = 1) Impalement (<i>n</i> = 1) Boat propeller (<i>n</i> = 1) AVP (<i>n</i> = 1)	75%	Pelvis fx (<i>n</i> = 1) Sacrum fx (<i>n</i> = 1) Leg laceration (<i>n</i> = 1) Cecum (<i>n</i> = 1)	All	50% ^a

AVP: automobile versus pedestrian, MVC: motor vehicle crash, ATV: all-terrain vehicle, fx: fracture.

^a One is artificially clean with enemas and one still has a colostomy.

injuries included vaginal injury in 6 females (60%), injury to the urinary tract in 3 patients (14%) and pelvic fracture in 5 patients (24%). In the patients with urinary tract injury, all had an injury to the bladder (2 intraperitoneal, 1 extraperitoneal). One patient also had a urethral injury and 1 suffered a ureteral injury.

A preoperative CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis was performed in 83% (*n* = 10) of patients with rectal injury, and 22% (*n* = 2) of patients with an isolated anal injury. At our facility all patients with suspected anorectal injury receive rectal contrast, but given the large geographic area that we serve, patients are often transferred with imaging from other facilities (40%) and these scans commonly do not have rectal contrast. Two patients with an injury involving both the anus and rectum underwent a damage control operation at the referring facility prior to transfer. Rigid proctoscopy was used to evaluate the extent of the injury in five patients with rectal involvement, and one patient with an isolated injury to the anus. There were no missed injuries.

All patients with injury involving the anal sphincters underwent immediate repair. No patient with an isolated, nondestructive anal injury underwent fecal diversion. The four patients that had combined anal and rectal injuries all had destructive injury to the sphincters and were diverted at the time of primary repair. A muscle stimulator was used to facilitate an anatomical repair in patients with destructive injury to the anal sphincters. One patient who was impaled while climbing a fence had good sphincter muscle contraction in three of four quadrants following repair. Anorectal manometry performed prior to colostomy closure was normal and he is now continent of stool and flatus.

All patients with an injury to the rectum were managed with fecal diversion. Ten (83%) of these patients underwent primary repair of the rectal injury and two patients with an intraperitoneal injury were managed by drain placement and distal irrigation of the defunctionalized rectum. One patient was managed with an endorectal pull-through (Swenson type) and a protective loop ileostomy after suffering a destructive pelvic and spinal injury secondary to a gunshot wound. The endorectal pull-through was performed several days after a damage control laparotomy when the patient was physiologically stable. Three (25%) patients underwent diagnostic laparoscopy for evaluation of an intraperitoneal rectal injury. Two of these patients had the rectal injury repaired laparoscopically followed by a laparoscopic assisted loop sigmoidostomy. The third patient was diagnosed with a complex genitourinary injury on laparoscopy that required open repair.

The median length of stay for patients with a rectal injury was 11 days (range 4–52 days), and 2 days (range <1–10 days) in patients with an isolated anal injury. Four patients (19%) developed

wound infections. Three of these were superficial perineal infections treated with local wound care and antibiotics. One patient, who had extensive perineal soft tissue loss after being crushed by a dump truck developed a deep infection that required a prolonged hospitalization, which included multiple operative debridements and eventual wound coverage with a muscle flap and skin graft.

Eleven of the 12 patients that had fecal diversion have undergone stoma closure. Median time to stoma closure was 103 days (range 46 days to 8.1 years). Median follow-up for patients with rectal or combined injuries was 134 days (69 days to 8.3 years) and 12 days (0–43 days) for patients with an isolated injury to the anus. The patient who underwent an endorectal pull-through after a gunshot injury to the pelvis with sacral nerve involvement has had her ileostomy closed and is incontinent of stool but is accident free with an intense bowel management program using large-volume enemas. The patient who suffered a crush injury from a garbage truck has not had his stoma reversed because of absent rectal tone and a fragile, skin grafted perineum. All other patients (90%) were continent of stool at the last follow-up visit.

3. Discussion

Pediatric anorectal injuries are uncommon and preservation of fecal continence is an important component of management in these patients. This is one of the largest series in children with anorectal injuries examining long-term fecal continence. With appropriate management continence should be expected. It is also important to recognize that there are frequently associated injuries to the genitourinary tract [1–4].

Anorectal injuries should be evaluated with priorities focusing on the location and extent of the injury (intraperitoneal vs. extraperitoneal) and identification of associated genitourinary injuries as delay in diagnosis has been shown to lead to an increase in morbidity and mortality [3,5]. Patients most commonly present with rectal bleeding [1,3]. While proctoscopy has been advocated in the past, a recent series suggests that triple-contrast CT (IV, oral, rectal) is highly accurate in diagnosing rectal injuries in children [6]. In addition, laparoscopy should be considered in the management of these injuries as both a diagnostic and therapeutic tool [7–9]. Injury to the urinary tract has been reported in up to 44% of children [10], and vaginal injury in 54% to 100% of females [3,4]. In our series, 14% had urinary tract injuries and 60% of female patients had vaginal injuries. Evaluation of the urethra and bladder with either cystography or cystoscopy is indicated in cases of high clinical suspicion [2,11,12], and vaginoscopy should be performed in female patients [11].




Fig. 1. Flow diagram for management of anorectal injuries.

Historically rectal injuries have been managed following recommendations that came from experiences in the Vietnam War with proximal diversion, debridement, drainage, possible distal rectal washout and primary repair when feasible [13,14]. However, recent evidence suggests that selected rectal injuries can be managed without a colostomy [14]. Repair without diversion is most commonly recommended for intraperitoneal rectal injuries in hemodynamically stable patients [14], but some authors, in both adult and pediatric populations, promote the use of selective diversion even for extraperitoneal injury [4,7,15]. Bonnard et al. [7] reported successful primary repair of a rectal injury without diverting colostomy in three pediatric patients (two intraperitoneal, one extraperitoneal). Öztürk et al. [4] similarly treated 21 pediatric patients with anorectal injuries without diversion. Two of these patients required a colostomy for management of postoperative complications (1 rectovaginal fistula, 1 wound infection) [4]. Based on our review, we recommend primary repair with diverting colostomy in patients with destructive injuries involving the anus and rectum. Patients with an isolated intraperitoneal rectal injury or injury to the anus without significant soft tissue loss or sphincter destruction may be managed without a colostomy (Fig. 1).

Evidence pertaining to the management and long-term outcomes of complex anorectal injuries in children is limited. Fecal incontinence has been reported in up to 19% [16] and anal stenosis in 11% of children [17]. Although most series report long-term continence, the extent of anal and sphincter injury is not well described [1–3,7]. When examining our patients with injury to the anus, we included only those children with injury to the anal sphincters. The majority of anal injuries ($n = 9$) in our series were partial tears of the sphincter complex that underwent immediate repair without diversion, and none of these patients were incontinent at follow-up. Four patients had extensive full-thickness and destructive injuries to the sphincter complex associated with rectal injuries leading to management by

fecal diversion in addition to sphincter repair. Two of the four patients are totally continent of stool. One of the four patients is incontinent because of sacral nerve damage but is kept artificially clean with a daily large-volume enema. The patient who suffered a crush injury from a garbage truck still has a colostomy caused by absent rectal tone and a fragile skin-grafted perineum. In the future, he may be a candidate for colostomy closure if he is able to be compliant with a bowel management program.

Limitations of this study include the retrospective design and small number of patients. Also, the follow-up was limited to the last outpatient visit and it is possible that some of our patients may have subsequently developed some degree of defecation dysfunction. While 90% of our patients were continent at last follow-up, stronger conclusions could be drawn with formal long-term continence evaluation.

4. Conclusions

Anorectal trauma in children is rare and often involves injuries to the genitourinary system. These patients require a thorough evaluation which may include laparoscopy. With meticulous reconstruction of the anal sphincter mechanism, most children with traumatic anorectal injuries will experience long-term fecal continence. Patients with more severe injuries, including those with nerve and destructive crush injury, may benefit from a structured bowel management program similar to that used in patients with anorectal malformations.

References

- [1] Vincent MV, Abel C, Duncan ND. Penetrating anorectal injuries in Jamaican children. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2012;28(11):1101–7.
- [2] Beiler HA, Zachariou Z, Daum R. Impalement and anorectal injuries in childhood: a retrospective study of 12 cases. *J Pediatr Surg* 1998;33(8):1287–91.

- [3] Ameh EA. Anorectal injuries in children. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2000;16(5):388–91.
- [4] Oztürk H, Onen A, Dokucu AI, et al. Management of anorectal injuries in children: an eighteen-year experience. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2003;13(4):249–55.
- [5] Brunner RG, Shatney CH. Diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of rectal trauma. Blunt versus penetrating. *Am Surg* 1987;53(4):215.
- [6] Leaphart CL, Danko M, Cassidy L, et al. An analysis of proctoscopy vs computed tomography scanning in the diagnosis of rectal injuries in children: which is better? *J Pediatr Surg* 2006;41(4):700–3.
- [7] Bonnard A, Zamakhshary M, Wales PW. Outcomes and management of rectal injuries in children. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2007;23(11):1071–6.
- [8] Hammond PJ, Jackson MJ, Jaffray B. Laparoscopic primary repair of a pediatric transanal impalement injury: a case report. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech* 2007;17(6):813–4.
- [9] Navsaria PH, Shaw JM, Zellweger R, et al. Diagnostic laparoscopy and diverting sigmoid loop colostomy in the management of civilian extraperitoneal rectal gunshot injuries. *Br J Surg* 2004;91(4):460–4.
- [10] Haut ER, Nance ML, Keller MS, et al. Management of penetrating colon and rectal injuries in the pediatric patient. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2004;47(9):1526–32.
- [11] Kim S, Linden B, Cendron M, et al. Pediatric anorectal impalement with bladder rupture: case report and review of the literature. *J Pediatr Surg* 2006;41(9):e1–3.
- [12] Jona JZ. Accidental anorectal impalement in children. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 1997;13(1):40–3.
- [13] Lavenson GS, Cohen A. Management of rectal injuries. *Am J Surg* 1971;122(2):226–30.
- [14] Steele SR, Maykel JA, Johnson EK. Traumatic injury of the colon and rectum: the evidence vs dogma. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2011;54(9):1184–201.
- [15] Gonzalez RP, Phelan III H, Hassan M, et al. Is fecal diversion necessary for nondestructive penetrating extraperitoneal rectal injuries? *J Trauma Injury Infect Critl Care* 2006;61(4):815–9.
- [16] Hashish AA. Perineal trauma in children. *Ann Pediatr Surg* 2011;7(2):55–60.
- [17] Debeugny P, Bonnevalle M. Injuries of the rectum in children. 79 cases. *Chir Pediatr* 1988;29(2–3):123–35.